



09/226,046

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

31

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) MICHAEL PAHL (Ex.)
- (2) Yvonne Eyle (Sup. Ex.)
- (3) Andrew Torrance
- (4) Jarvis Fraser

Date of Interview: 9/8/03

☒ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative

Is a claim being made? ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description: PROPOSED CLAIM FIXED

Agreement: ☐ was reached ☐ was not reached

On line(s) discussed: FUNDING AND PROPOSED CLAIMS

Identification of interest discussed: NONE

Discussed that 112p2 would be overcome. The functional language ^{web number} 112p1 when the candidate compound contacts the receptor directly or with a control step which takes into account non-specific stimulation or deactivation on the cell by other receptors.

Agreement would render the claims allowable.

☒ Yes ☐ No

WHICH AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See MPEP 2626.01(a) for more information.

SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. The following information is required to be included in the interview summary: (1) a list of the obligations, (2) a list of the questions asked, (3) a list of the answers given, (4) a list of the objections made, (5) a list of the responses given, (6) a list of the amendments made, (7) a list of the reasons for the amendments, (8) a list of the conclusions reached, (9) a list of the actions taken, (10) a list of the results achieved.

Michael D. Pahl